MEASURING PROGRAMS AND PROCESSES WORKSHEET

RESULTS	What are the results?	
IMPLEMENTATION	How should implementation be measured?	
	How is implementation being measured?	
	To what degree is the program being implemented?	
	What would it look like if the program were fully implemented?	
PARTICIPANTS	Who is being served? Who is not being served?	
	Who is the program intended to serve?	
PURPOSE	How will you know the intent (desired results, objectives) is being met?	
	What is the intent (desired results/ objectives)?	

 $^{\odot}$ Education for the Future, Chico, CA (http://eff.csuchico.edu)

MEASURING RtI EFFECTIVENESS AT MARYLIN AVENUE

	PURPOSE	PARTICIPANTS		IMPLEMENTATION		RESULTS
What is the intent (desired results, objectives)?	How will you know the intent (desired results, objectives) is being met?	Who is the program intended to serve?	Who is being served? Who is not being served?	What would it look like if the program were fully implemented?	To what degree is the program being implemented? How is implementation being measured?	What are the results?
The intent of RtI at Marylin Avenue is to: Implement, in every classroom, quality, research-based instruction and assessment strategies that address students' needs and differences and are based on essential learning standards. Maximize all students' learning. Reduce behavior problems. Ensure that all students are primarily educated in the general education environment, with access to the general education content, materials, and expectations. Ensure the appropriate identification of students with special needs.	conducted within the classroom setting, during the school day, will be utilized to identify struggling students and why they are struggling. Interventions matched to student needs will result in student learning increases for all students. Number of office referrals will be minimal. Students will not be placed in special education for the wrong reasons, such as teachers wanting students out of the classroom because of behavior or lack of learning response, poor test-taking skills, second language learning/ English language proficiency levels not having received high-quality instruction		The California Standards Test (CST) will show which students are proficient and which students are not proficient. Progress monitoring will show which students are and are not making progress, before, during, and after interventions.	When all teachers at Marylin Avenue are implementing RtI as designed by staff, they will: Identify essential student learning standards, in their grade level teams. Create/adopt assessments of the standards, in their grade level teams. Administer agreed-upon assessments in their classrooms to understand what students know and do not know in order to focus their instructional strategies to meet the needs of all students. Provide instruction adjusted to student needs. Assess students every three weeks. Review assessment results with grade level team members. Provide additional instruction and interventions for the students who are not proficient. Provide regular grade level instruction to all students.	The degree to which teachers are implementing the RtI process is being measured through the classroom observation tool, and through the results of common formative assessments. These measures are discussed in grade level meetings. Classroom observations show that teachers are implementing the components of RtI. Data are used in the RtI flowcharts to understand if the processes are working as intended.	CST results show there is instructional coherence in the school. All students are making the equivalent of one year's growth, or better, on state proficiency tests. There is a reduction of retentions. The percentage of the school population identified for special education services has decreased and does not exceed state or national averages. Students, teachers, and parents feel that students can do the work and that they are learning at adequate rates to prepare them for the future. Student attendance continues to improve. Teacher morale is good, but lower in 2010. Staff and parents feel the school has a good public image. Teachers are better at meeting student needs, as measured by progress monitoring assessments. Instruction is aligned, as shown in the CST and monitoring assessment results, over time, and across grade levels.